

REGULAR MEETING OF THE MISHAWAKA COMMON COUNCIL

February 1, 2021

Be it remembered that the Common Council of the City of Mishawaka, Indiana met in the Council Chambers of the Mishawaka City Hall and via telephone on Monday, February 1, 2021 at 7:00PM. The meeting was called to order by President Gregg Hixenbaugh. All were asked to stand for the Pledge of Allegiance, followed by a moment of silence to remember the lives lost due to COVID-19.



Roll Call.

Present: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh.

Others Present: Mike Trippel, Council Attorney and Clerk Block.

Minutes for the Regular meeting of January 18, 2021 were approved as received from the Clerk's Office.

Clerk Block read the following petition to the council who referred it to the City Plan Commission for their recommendation.

PETITION NO. 2021-01

Rezone from C-4 Automobile Oriented Commercial District to C-1 General Commercial District – 1333 Lincolnway East

Clerk Block read a letter from the Controller regarding a presentation of outstanding and unpaid checks for a period of 2 years to be voided and receipted back into their original fund.

Mr. Hixenbaugh requested the record reflect that the council received the information from the Controller and all of the legal niceties by state law had been observed.

Clerk Block read the following proposed ordinance by title and assigned committee.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 2021-09

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MISHAWAKA
RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. 2010-21 AND RESOLUTION NO. 2012-03
PERTAINING TO PETITIONS OF MISHAWAKA BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT: 1802 W SIXTH STREET
Rescinding Resolution No. 2010-21 and Resolution No. 2012-03 – A Use Variance for the
Property, permitting commercial automotive body repair/maintenance shop – 1802 W
Sixth Street
(Assigned to Land Use Planning Committee)**

Clerk Block stated the police had taken and delivered the notice to the owner of the property and they had received the certificate of service and also mailed the certified return receipt requested.

Mr. Hixenbaugh requested the record reflect that the notice of second reading and public hearing that will take place next council meeting had been delivered. Mr. Hixenbaugh appreciated the assistance of the Clerk.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 2021-01

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 137 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MISHAWAKA, INDIANA, AS FROM TIME TO TIME AMENDED, COMMONLY KNOWN AS “THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1966” OF THE CITY OF MISHAWAKA, INDIANA.

Rezone Two Parcels Total of 1.81 acres from C-1 General Commercial to C-7 Automobile Oriented Restaurant Commercial – 5055 & 5603 Grape Rd

Mr. Mammolenti reported the Committee of Land Use Planning recommended this proposed ordinance should be adopted. This was signed by the entire committee upon a second by Mr. Compton motion carried. A roll call vote was held for the Committee Report.



Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9).

Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. The committee report passed 9-0.

Mike Huber, Abonmarche Consultants, spoke in favor of **PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 2021-01**. Mr. Huber stated their clients GW Properties also attended the meeting virtually in case the council had questions specifically for them. Mr. Huber stated they own the two properties surrounding the ABC Warehouse property, the property adjacent to the north where the fitness center used to be and the property adjacent to the south where the bookstore was. Mr. Huber stated they are wanting to help revitalize that strip plaza which had begun to have issues with some of the use there. Mr. Huber stated part of their strategy was to create new out lots in the front which will front Grape Road for the purpose of having drive-thru restaurants in the two out lots. Mr. Huber stated they would like to rezone to allow for the uses of the drive-thru restaurants and they knew that there were at least 3 other C-7 drive through uses in the Grape Road corridor north of Douglas Road, including the Steak-n-Shake immediately to the South. Mr. Huber stated they believed it would fit with the zoning of the corridor and it would drive some traffic into that plaza to try to bring it back to life. Mr. Huber stated they were working with the Engineering office to try to find the best entrance access off of Grape Road and are negotiating it with the property owners surrounding the area. Mr. Huber stated they were contacted by the apartment complex behind them and they had issues with the potential redevelopment of the north property where it would be close to the apartments. Mr. Huber stated they would make sure they are in contact with them during through the site development process when that comes to make sure that they mitigate any impacts that could be on the property.

Mrs. Voelker stated the site needed new life and it would be wonderful if the company would redevelop it. Mrs. Voelker asked if there would be landscaping between Grape Road and the restaurants softening the look. Mr. Huber stated they would meet all of the requirements of the current city zoning ordinance which would require front landscaping separating to separate

between that area. Mrs. Voelker asked if he would have any idea what would occur behind with the other two buildings. Mr. Huber stated he did not, but he believed getting the area rezoned and hopefully that would make the other spaces behind that much more marketable.

Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if there were any specific information about which restaurants would be occupying the space. Mr. Huber stated it could potentially be a Taco Bell and did not know about the other one yet.

Question was called for at 7:11 P.M.  **Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9). Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. Thus, it becomes Ordinance No. 5735**

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 2021-02

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 137 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MISHAWAKA, INDIANA, AS FROM TIME TO TIME AMENDED, COMMONLY KNOWN AS “THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1966” OF THE CITY OF MISHAWAKA, INDIANA.

PUD Amendment to allow Fuel Pumps & Convenience Store with parking reduction – 520 Bittersweet – SE. Corner of Bittersweet & Vistula (Petitioner Requesting Postponement)

The chair entertained a motion to postpone second reading and public hearing on PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2021-02 indefinitely at the request of the petitioner and the petitioner’s legal counsel. The motion was moved by Mr. Compton and seconded by Mr. Mammolenti, thus a roll call vote was hold.

 **Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9). Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. The motion passed 9-0.**

Mr. Mammolenti outline details regarding the upcoming zoom meeting, per Mr. Hixenbaugh’s request. Mr. Mammolenti stated the developer and the team of their attorneys would be holding an informational meeting that would allow residents in the general vicinity to ask questions or express concerns. Mr. Mammolenti stated that zoom meeting would take place February 10, 2021 at 7PM via zoom. Mr. Mammolenti stated the meeting ID number was 97173362565 and the passcode was 562355. Mr. Mammolenti also thanked Mr. Hixenbaugh and Mr. Hazen for their efforts in communicating and orchestrating the meeting.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 2021-07

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 58 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF MISHAWAKA, INDIANA AS FROM TIME TO TIME AMENDED COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS “THE TRAFFIC CODE”.

Amending the Municipal Code of the Traffic Code – Municipal Lot No. 3 on First & Church Street (Petitioner Requesting Amendment)

Mr. Mammolenti reported the Committee of Public Health and Safety recommended this proposed ordinance should be adopted. This was signed by the entire committee upon a second by Mr. Compton motion carried. A roll call vote was held for the Committee Report.



Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9).

Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. The Committee Report passes 9-0.

Pat Hinkle, Corporation Counsel for the City of Mishawaka, spoke on the amendment requested by the petitioner. Mr. Hinkle stated the amendment was initiated to clean up the language of the ordinance and make it clear that the people who have a special parking permit could still park in the lot between the hours of 12AM to 6AM. Mr. Hinkle stated there was no parking between that time frame, except for exclusive reserved parking space permits. Mr. Hinkle stated the current ordinance stated with respect to lot 3, 'two-hour parking only except for exclusive reserved parking space permits' and this would eliminate the two-hour parking only between 12AM and 6AM. Mr. Hinkle stated the reason they were doing this was because they had problems in that parking lot in the past with crimes being committed early in the morning.

Mr. Hixenbaugh asked to take care of the amendment before Mr. Hinkle finished his presentation. The chair entertained a motion to amend the proposed ordinance as explained by corporation counsel. The motion was move by Mr. Compton and seconded by Mr. Banicki.

Mrs. Voelker asked if the ordinance in front of her was the amended version of the ordinance. Clerk Block stated they should see the old ordinance along with the new ordinance. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated the packet for this meeting would reflect Mr. Hinkle's proposed amendment.

A roll call vote was held for the proposed amendment.



Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9).

Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. The amendment passed 9-0.

Pat Hinkle, Corporation Counsel for the City of Mishawaka, continued his presentation. Mr. Hinkle stated they wanted to close parking for this lot in the desired time frame, because of the law enforcement problems they had been having and had an inordinate amount of police calls to the downtown area during those hours, in response to problems that have occurred in that parking lot and the bar adjacent to it. Mr. Hinkle stated the idea was that if they have the authority to close the lot, the police can then ticket vehicles parked there after midnight, move their vehicles and it would encourage individuals to go home as opposed to all of the bad things that have happened there. Mr. Hinkle stated as a result, the city had police officers on duty at that shift congregating towards that area at around that time of night so they would be there to assist other officers in the event an altercation was to occur. Mr. Hinkle concluded stating that was the reason for the amendment to the parking ordinance.

Mr. Emmons asked how they intend to close the parking lot and keep people from parking in that area. Mr. Hinkle stated they would put a sign there and the police would ticket the vehicles to be towed away. Mr. Hinkle also stated he would leave that up to the police department to decide how best to enforce it. Mr. Hinkle stated they could close the entrances to the lot, but the underlying issue would be individuals who have the reserved parking space permits are allowed to park there at any time. Mr. Hinkle added he had looked to see what time other establishments in that area closed and they had been closed long before the 12AM to 6AM timeframe. Mr. Hinkle stated the owner of Doc Pierce's had no problem with this amendment.

Mrs. Voelker asked if he had spoken to the Table 97 owner. Mr. Hinkle stated he had not. Mr. Hinkle also stated the other problem was vandalism of vehicles and a gentleman from Mishawaka Antiques had his window broken out on his van one evening. Mr. Hinkle stated there had been numerous police calls from Smith's Downtown on Lincolnway East. Mr. Hinkle stated patrons of this establishment tended to use this area and had been seen coming in and out of the parking lot, along with video from Doc Pierce's coming out of Smith's Downtown and involved in altercations in that parking lot. Mr. Hinkle stated they had problems with fights breaking out inside the bar as well and people leaving the bar with the fights continuing in areas around the bar along with in the parking lot.

Mr. Emmons asked how the police will be notified of the cars that have the parking permit. Mr. Hinkle stated the permit would be marked on the car. Mr. Emmons also asked if Smith's Downtown were to go out of business, would that parking lot still be closed from 12AM to 6AM. Mr. Hinkle stated he did not know and that would be up to Mayor Wood and Chief Witkowski would decide.

Mr. Compton thanked Mr. Hinkle for doing this. Mr. Compton made a recommendation that would have been stronger than this after one of the incidents, but he believed this would work. Mr. Compton stated this going into effect at midnight would not impact any other businesses around it and it would send a signal to any new businesses coming in the area that they could not deal with that. Mr. Compton asked when Mr. Hinkle stated 'if they were allowed to do this' if he meant as far as the council or if there were some other concern. Mr. Hinkle meant if the council passed the amendment. Mr. Compton appreciated what they were doing.

Mrs. DeMaegd asked what the hours of operation were for Smith's Downtown. Mr. Hinkle stated they claim they close at 2AM, but he was not sure if they actually closed at that time. Mr. Hinkle stated they usually close around that time and the owner had stated at 1:30AM they close the upstairs and at 2AM they close the downstairs, but the calls the police were receiving had been occurring at that time or later. Mrs. DeMaegd asked if this was happening daily or just Friday and Saturday nights. Mr. Hinkle stated it had been occurring Friday and Saturday nights mostly.

Mr. Mammolenti asked if they had received many complaints from the residents who own the permit that their spot had been taken. Mr. Hinkle stated they had not. Mr. Mammolenti agreed this would solve the parking issue, but he did not believe this would solve the issue as far as fighting and other crime. Mr. Mammolenti asked what would happen if the occurrences were to persist in this parking lot once it was closed for permit only. Mr. Hinkle gave background on the

situation and stated one of the problems they had with the Alcohol & Tobacco Commission was that Smith's stated that was not their parking lot, they did not control what happened in that parking lot and it was the city's job to control the parking lot. Mr. Hinkle stated the city then took action with this amendment. Mr. Hinkle stated you could not stop these individuals from fighting entirely, but at the least their cars would be gone and they could go home to lessen the odds of it occurring. Mr. Hinkle stated it was in response to an argument made by Smith's against the city in front of the commission and also in response to a suggestion made by one of the excise officers with the Alcohol & Tobacco Commission. Mr. Hinkle stated a lot of the incidents were occurring in all areas inside and outside of the bar and this was one area they could implement some action to decrease the likelihood that problems occur in the downtown area at night. Mr. Hinkle added the bar had never said to the city they would close at midnight and had insisted on being open late. Mr. Mammolenti followed up asking if changes in music themes and other aspects had occurred to help prevent these incidents. Mr. Hinkle stated the owner said he had by getting rid of certain programs. Mr. Mammolenti asked if they had seen a decrease in the calls of service. Mr. Hinkle stated they had not seen a decrease and had two calls this past weekend or the weekend before and Monday morning they receive reports from Lieutenant Reppert about what happened at Smith's over the weekend and the police were getting tired of it as well. Mr. Hinkle stated it was a rowdy bunch and they do not have that at the other bars downtown.

Mrs. DeMaegd asked if they do close this lot, would there be restrictions as far as parking on Main Street. Mr. Hinkle stated he did not believe you could park longer than two hours on the street. Clerk Block stated it was after 5 o'clock you could park there and stated they would look into it.

Mr. Compton stated the issue was a city parking lot and a responsibility they had for that parking lot. Mr. Compton stated the owner may not like it, but it made sense. Mr. Compton stated the lot belonged to the city, not them, and they needed to patrol the lot. Mr. Compton stated he did not agree with that argument completely, but there was some credence to it. Mr. Compton stated as far as parking on the street, that was completely different and was not as the same as the parking lot argument. Mr. Compton thought starting this at midnight would be step 1 and if it did not work, they would cross that bridge when they got there. Mr. Compton stated this would stop some problems to a certain degree.

Mr. Emmons asked if Smith's Downtown had security of any kind at their events. Mr. Hinkle stated the owner told him they had bouncers. Mr. Emmons asked if specifically, there were law enforcement, policemen off duty or a county sheriff present. Mr. Hazen stated he spoke with Chief Witkowski and it had gotten so bad that they don't let them provide security at Smith's. Mr. Hazen stated they were afraid of officers getting hurt and there are no Mishawaka police there or the county either.

Mr. Hazen stated the way he looked at this was a tool to help the police try to control this area more. Mr. Hazen stated they cannot control where the patrons go as far as if they move to Main Street or the library parking lot and unfortunately, the ultimate goal would be try to shut them down and revoke their liquor license. Mr. Hazen concluded stating they had not been good neighbors for a long time and that process was still evolving.

Mr. Hixenbaugh thanked Mr. Hinkle for his work in regard to this issue, not only with the ordinance but also with regard to the separate actions he had taken. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated they had a lot of conversation, for obvious reasons, about the relationship between this ordinance and a particular business located downtown. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated this raised the question if it were really appropriate for the council to take action that is being purposed based upon one certain circumstance. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if it were accurate that when comparing this parking lot to other municipal owned parking lots throughout the downtown or owned anywhere in Mishawaka by the city, that there was an inordinate number of police calls, problems and acts of violence that took place at this location as opposed to other locations under their control. Mr. Hinkle answered his understanding was yes. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if this would be a matter of concern to the city in respective of whether there was a nexus between those problems and the particular business. Mr. Hinkle stated that was correct. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if Mr. Hinkle would agree with him that this was a matter that added general application and supports public health and safety in the city of Mishawaka without worrying about the impact on any particular business as such. Mr. Hinkle agreed with that. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated the action they took that evening would have no impact on the actions taken separately through the regulatory process for alcohol licenses and that would precede on a separate path they would take that evening. Mr. Hixenbaugh thanked Mr. Hinkle and stated he would be in favor of them continuing to monitor these types of circumstances no matter where they would occur and this was the low hanging fruit and the most obvious one to begin with. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated they ought to take a look at their other municipal lots and take a similar action if necessary to do so. Mr. Hixenbaugh concluded appreciating the limitation that was built in to allow for those who rent the spaces from the city to be able to have ongoing access and thanked Mr. Hinkle.

Ryan Smith, owner of Smith's Downtown, stated he did not know what the ordinance was, but he was notified of it and wanted to speak on it. Mr. Smith stated he wanted to know what the ordinance was about and would answer any questions.

Mr. Hixenbaugh stated typically, this would not be an opportunity for people to come to the podium and pose questions to the council, but he allowed Mr. Smith the opportunity and summarized the ordinance once again for him. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if Mr. Smith had additional comments. Mr. Smith stated his customers are usually at his establishment until 2 AM and he also heard Absolutely would be opening up and they would be open until 1 AM on weekends and the ordinance would impact their business as well.

Mr. Mammolenti asked how full the parking lot is on normal weekend evening. Mr. Smith stated it would be about half full. Mr. Mammolenti asked if they parked there because it was close to his establishment or because there was no other parking available. Mr. Smith stated all kinds of people park there who go around to the neighboring bars such as the Shine Bar and Absolutely and not just his patrons. Mr. Smith stated employees also use that lot who would be there later hours. Mr. Mammolenti asked if it would be a major hindrance if the parking lot was restricted. Mr. Smith stated it would deter business for anyone who runs a late business. Mr. Smith also stated individuals having people over to the neighboring apartments would cause problems.

Mr. Hixenbaugh stated after reading the newspaper articles about Mr. Smith's business was at least in the articles, would he agree that there acts of violence that took place in that parking lot.

Mr. Smith stated there were such instances, but had not had many issues up until this last year. Mr. Smith stated they had issues with different promoters and made adjustments to follow along with the city. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if he agreed if there had been violent incidents that took place in the parking lot. Mr. Smith agreed with that. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if he would agree that those acts of violence took place after 12 AM. Mr. Smith stated yes. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if he agreed that there was on street parking on Lincolnway on both sides of the street. Mr. Smith agreed and stated there are about 4 spots in front of the building. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if he agreed that there were spots open on Main Street directly around the corner on both sides of the street. Mr. Smith agreed. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if there were also parking on the far Northside of the block on the CVS street and the block they are located on. Mr. Smith agreed. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if he were aware there was a parking garage built down at the Mill. Mr. Smith stated none of his patrons would park down there. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked again if he were aware of parking spots there and land lots located throughout town. Mr. Smith stated he believed so. Mr. Hixenbaugh asked if Mr. Smith heard him indicate earlier that the lot in question would be open for his patrons and anyone else wishing to park there until 12AM. Mr. Smith stated when he had come in Mr. Hixenbaugh told him what the ordinance said. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated if he knew the lot would be open for the entirety of the day before and after the hours of 12AM to 6AM to visit his business or any other business. Mr. Smith stated he did know. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated it was his understanding that their police department has declined the opportunity for off duty officers to work as security officers at his establishment. Mr. Smith stated that was accurate. Mr. Hixenbaugh thanked Mr. Smith for his answers.

Mr. Compton thanked Mr. Smith for coming down for the meeting and appreciated his predicament but stated they had a responsibility for the safety of the individuals in that lot. Mr. Compton stated that this was not personal, but most of the actions that had happened in that lot had come from his patrons and they felt they needed to do something and would act as a tool for the police to react, as Mr. Hazen put it. Mr. Compton stated the police did not have much they could do and believed this would be a better and more structured way to handle this. Mr. Compton stated this was their way of trying to deal with the issues taking place that late at night. Mr. Compton stated he made a good point with individuals having friends over and Mr. Hinkle would hear him out on that. Mr. Compton concluded stating this is what they felt like needed to be done and this was why the ordinance was in front of them. Mr. Compton thanked Mr. Smith.

Question was called for at 7:56PM for **PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 2021-07AA** 

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9).

Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. Thus, it becomes **ORDINANCE NO. 5736.**

NEW BUSINESS

Clerk Block announced the Mayor requested the State of the City be given to the council on March 22, 2021 and they would need to suspend council rules.

The chair entertained a motion to suspend council rules and grant the Mayor's request to receive the State of the City Address on March 22, 2021 at a location to be determined in the future. The

motion was moved by Mr. Compton and seconded by Mr. Mammolenti, thus a roll call vote took place.



**Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9).
Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. The motion passed 9-0.**

Mr. Hixenbaugh stated based upon a conversation had in the pre meeting and the practice among local school corporations to hold spring break during the first week of April and with the first meeting of April to fall during spring break, the chair made a motion to change the date of the first regular council meeting from Monday April 5, 2021 to Monday April 12, 2021. The motion was made by Mr. Emmons and seconded by Mr. Mammolenti and a roll call vote was held.



**Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 9).
Yes: Mrs. DeMaegd, Mr. Banicki, Mr. Hazen, Mr. Mammolenti, Mr. Emmons, Mr. Bellovich, Mr. Compton, Mrs. Voelker, Mr. Hixenbaugh. The motion passed 9-0.**

Mr. Emmons thanked Mr. Hinkle and Mr. Prince for their contributions on the development over on 6th Street with Pipers. Mr. Emmons stated he knew they would vote on it the next regular meeting and he was very happy along with a number of the residents. Mr. Emmons hoped the cars would soon be cleared out of the area and hopefully next meeting the council would have all of the necessary info. Mr. Emmons thanked Mr. Hinkle once again for all of his work to get this problem solved that had been occurring for a number of years.

Mr. Hixenbaugh thanked Mr. Hinkle and Mr. Prince and also acknowledge Mr. Trippel for his lead on drafting the ordinance in front of the council. Mr. Emmons thanked Mr. Hixenbaugh for bringing that up.

Mr. Hixenbaugh announced on February 8th at 6PM, the council would be conducting an informational meeting on TIF bonds and local stimulus programs the administration has. Mr. Hixenbaugh stated the meeting would be broadcasted remotely and they look forward to an interesting evening with a presentation from Mr. Prince.

ADJOURNMENT 8:02PM

Deborah S. Block /s/
Deborah S. Block, IAMC, MMC, City Clerk

Gregg A. Hixenbaugh /s/
Gregg A. Hixenbaugh, President